

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No.3162 of 1996  
Date of Decision:18.7.2011

Smt. Mohini Devi

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Ms. Justice Nirmaljit Kaur

Present: Mr. M.L. Sarin, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Hemant Sarin, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. S.S. Goripuria, D.A.G. Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (Oral)

This is petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India challenging the notifications dated 21.3.1991(P-1) and notification dated 18.3.1992 (P-4) under Sections 4 and 6 of the Act.

Learned counsel for the State does not dispute that the present petition is squarely covered by the judgment rendered by the Division Bench of this Court in C.W.P. No.3080 of 1994 decided on 24.5.2010. Even at the time of motion hearing, it was conceded that the said notifications were also subject matter of challenge in C.W.P. No.10293 of 1992 and accordingly, the present writ petition was ordered to be listed for hearing alongwith the C.W.P. No.10293 of 1992. The said writ petition was allowed vide order and judgment dated 9.2.2010.

In view of the admitted position and the matter being squarely covered with the judgment rendered by the Division Bench of this Court in C.W.P. No.3080 of 1994 decided on 24.5.2010, the present petition is allowed in the same terms.

18.7.2011  
rajeev

( NIRMALJIT KAUR )  
JUDGE